

Software Engineering 2 “myTaxiService”

Test Plan Document Version 0.1

1/13/2016

Politecnico di Milano A.A. 2015-2016

Milica Jovanovic (mat. 835953);Pavle Vidanovic (mat. 854472)

Contents

[1 Introduction 4](#_Toc440885332)

[1.1 Revision History 4](#_Toc440885333)

[1.2 Purpose and Scope 4](#_Toc440885334)

[1.3 Definitions and Abbreviations 4](#_Toc440885335)

[1.4 Reference Documents 5](#_Toc440885336)

[1.5 Document Overview 5](#_Toc440885337)

[2 Integration Strategy 6](#_Toc440885338)

[2.1 Entry Criteria 6](#_Toc440885339)

[2.2 Elements to be integrated 7](#_Toc440885340)

[2.3 Integration Testing Strategy 8](#_Toc440885341)

[2.4 Sequence of Component/Function Integration 8](#_Toc440885342)

[2.4.1 Software Integration Sequence 8](#_Toc440885343)

[2.4.1.1 Integration Test of Guest Component 8](#_Toc440885344)

[2.4.1.2 Integration Test of Admin Component 8](#_Toc440885345)

[2.4.1.1 Integration Test of TaxiDriver Component 8](#_Toc440885346)

[2.4.1.2 Integration Test of Scheduler Component 9](#_Toc440885347)

[2.4.1.3 Integration Test of User Component 9](#_Toc440885348)

[2.4.2 Subsystem Integration Sequence 9](#_Toc440885349)

[3 Individual Steps and Test Description 10](#_Toc440885350)

[3.1 Test case specification 10](#_Toc440885351)

[3.1.1 Integration test case I1 10](#_Toc440885352)

[3.1.2 Integration test case I2 10](#_Toc440885353)

[3.1.3 Integration test case I3 10](#_Toc440885354)

[3.1.4 Integration test case I4 10](#_Toc440885355)

[3.1.5 Integration test case I5 11](#_Toc440885356)

[3.1.6 Integration test case I6 11](#_Toc440885357)

[3.1.7 Integration test case I7 12](#_Toc440885358)

[3.2 Test procedures 13](#_Toc440885359)

[3.2.1 Integration test procedure TP1 13](#_Toc440885360)

[3.2.2 Integration test procedure TP2 13](#_Toc440885361)

[3.2.3 Integration test procedure TP3 13](#_Toc440885362)

[3.2.4 Integration test procedure TP4 13](#_Toc440885363)

[3.2.5 Integration test procedure TP5 14](#_Toc440885364)

[4 Tools and Test Equipment Required 15](#_Toc440885365)

[5 Program Stubs and Test Data Required 15](#_Toc440885366)

[6 References 15](#_Toc440885367)

# Introduction

## Revision History

## Purpose and Scope

The purpose of this document is to define plan for testing, integration testing and verifying that system development during the project complies with the requirements of Requirement document and Design Document. This document also presents test results in order to determinate if the application meets predetermined requirements and functionalities.

The aim of this project is to develop and implement myTaxiService, an application similar to Uber, which makes the process of assigning an available taxi vehicle to possible passengers.

The developed system should allow new users to register. Users, once logged in, should be able to:

* request a taxi
* reserve a taxi
* cancel a ride
* check taxi availability around him
* receive a confirmation with information about the assigned vehicle and ETA once taxi is requested
* create/maintain user profile
* report a taxi driver

The developed system should allow new taxi drivers to register. Drivers, once logged in, should be able to:

* inform the system about their availability
* confirm/decline that they are going to take care of a certain call
* create/maintain taxi driver profile
* report a passenger

The system should keep information about new arrived requests, as well as the confirmed rides. A ride should have and id number, information about the passenger that requested the ride, as well as the code of the assigned vehicle and ETA. System should also keep information about taxi queues connected to particular zone of the city and ensure fair management of the queues. Developed system should keep information about the list of reservations made by passengers, such as id number of the reservation, information about the passenger that made the reservation and the time of reservation and time of the ride.

## Definitions and Abbreviations

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *ETA* | Estimated Time of Arrival, approximated time of arrival of taxi vehicle to destination |
| *Reservation* | Passenger request for a vehicle at least 2 hours before the ride |
| *Request* | Passenger filled form for immediate ride |
| *Reservation* *Conformation* | Notification sent to the user about the confirmed reservation |
| *Ride Conformation* | Notification sent to the user about the confirmed ride with information of the ride |
| *Report* | Short description of problem that user/driver stumped into |
| *User* | A person already registered and logged into the system |
| *Guest* | A person accessing a system that has either never registered or hasn't logged in yet. Guest has only two available options, to log in or to register for the first time |
| *Taxi* *driver* | A person already register and logged into the system as a driver |
| *GPS* | Global Positioning System |
| *API* | *:* Application Programming Interface*.* |
| *DD* | Design Document |
| *DB* | Database |
| *DBMS* | |  | | --- | | Database Management System | |
| *RASD* | Requirement Analysis and Specification Document |
| *ITPD* | Integration Test Plan Document |

## Reference Documents

* RASD - RASD myTaxiService - final v2.0
* DD - DD myTaxiService - final
* Specification Document: myTaxiService Project AA 2015-2016
* Assignments 1 and 2 (RASD and DD)
* Assignment 4 - integration test plan
* Integration Test Plan Example

## Document Overview

The document is essentially structured in six parts:

* Chapter 1: Introduction, gives description of document and some basic information about the software
* Chapter 2: Integration Strategy, gives an overview of entry criteria for the integrating components and how the elements will be integrated as well as used testing strategy and  sequences of component/function integration
* Chapter 3: Individual Steps and Test Description, description of type of tests for verifying elements defined in one step, verifying the results are as expected
* Chapter 4: Tool and Test Equipment Required, overview on tools and equipment used to support integration test
* Chapter 5: Program Stubs and Test Data Required, gives an overview of how the requirements defined in RASD map into the design elements defined in DD.
* Chapter 6: References

# Integration Strategy

## Entry Criteria

Functions that need to pass Unit testing are entry criteria for following components of myTaxiService System:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Component | Functions to be unit tested |
| Guest Manager | * signUp() * signIn() |
| User Manager | * makeRequest() * makeReservation() * report() * manageProfile() * checkTaxisAvailable() * checkReservation() * cancelRide() |
| TaxiDriver Manager | * confirmDeclineRide() * setAvailable() * manageProfile() * report() * cancelRide() * checkRides() |
| Admin Manager | * banUser() * viewReports() * signIn() |
| Scheduler |  |
| Request Manager | * createRequest() * provideTaxi() * calculateETA() * sendConfirmation() * findZone() * findDriver() * rideProposal() |
| Reservation Manager | * createReservation() * findDriver() * findZone() * sendConfirmation() * reservationConfirmation() * rideProposal() |
| Zone Manager | * determineZone() //getZone * findAvailableDriver() * enqueDriver() * dequeueDriver() * peekDriverOnQueue() |

## Elements to be integrated

Figures 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4 show the components that form the myTaxiService system. These ﬁgures are derived from figure 2.2 called Component view in DD, chapter 2. The arrows represent the order of integration, i.e. integration testing.




Figure 2.1 Guest Component




Figure 2.2 Admin Component



Figure 2.3 TaxiDriver Component – TaxiDriver application




Figure 2.4 Scheduler Component




Figure 2.5 User Component – User application

## Integration Testing Strategy

Items to be tested consist of integration of code modules developed for myTaxiService system. We have proposed as Integration Testing Strategy the bottom-up approach, starting from the lowest levels of the system (functions) that have passed the unit testing and that build up components. These components are integrated into bigger and complex components which in the end represent the myTaxiService system. One of the reasons for choosing bottom up approach is that it gives us a good overview of how far have we gone with the integration testing and as well to spot the problems in lower levels so they could be fixed before the system components are integrated at next level.

## Sequence of Component/Function Integration

### Software Integration Sequence

### Integration Test of Guest Component

Integration Test of Guest Component relates to the Figure 2.1 of ITPD document.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ID | Integration Test |
| I1 | DataLayer Guest Manager |

### Integration Test of Admin Component

Integration Test of Admin Component relates to the Figure 2.2 of ITPD document.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ID | Integration Test |
| I2 | DataLayer Admin Manager |

### Integration Test of TaxiDriver Component

Integration Test of TaxiDriver Component relates to the Figure 2.3 of ITPD document.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ID | Integration Test |
| I3 | DataLayer TaxiDriver Manager |

### Integration Test of Scheduler Component

Integration Test of Scheduler Component relates to the Figure 2.4 of ITPD document.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ID | Integration Test |
| I4 | Zone Manager Request Manager, Reservation Manager |
| I5 | Request Manager, Reservation Manager, TaxiDriver Manager, DataLayer Scheduler |

### Integration Test of User Component

Integration Test of User Component relates to the Figure 2.5 of ITPD document.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ID | Integration Test |
| I6 | DataLayer, Scheduler User Manager |

### Subsystem Integration Sequence




Figure 2.6 myTaxiService system – Subsystem integration

Integration Test of myTaxiService system from above integrated subsystem components relates to the Figure 2.6 of ITPD document.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ID | Integration Test |
| I7 | Guest Manager, Admin Manager, User Manager, Scheduler myTaxiSevice system |

# Individual Steps and Test Description

## Test case specification

### Integration test case I1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test Case Identifier | I1T1 |
| Test Item(s) | Data Layer Guest Manager |
| Input Specification | Create typical DataLayer input as DB connection |
| Output Specification | Check if the correct functions are called in Guest Manager |
| Environmental Needs | N/A |

### Integration test case I2

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test Case Identifier | I2T1 |
| Test Item(s) | Data Layer Admin Manager |
| Input Specification | Create typical DataLayer input as DB connection |
| Output Specification | Check if the correct functions are called in Admin Manager |
| Environmental Needs | N/A |

### Integration test case I3

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test Case Identifier | I3T1 |
| Test Item(s) | Data Layer TaxiDriver Manager |
| Input Specification | Create typical DataLayer input as DB connection |
| Output Specification | Check if the correct functions are called in TaxiDriver Manager |
| Environmental Needs | N/A |

### Integration test case I4

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test Case Identifier | I4T1 |
| Test Item(s) | Zone Manager Request Manager |
| Input Specification | Create typical Zone Managerinput |
| Output Specification | Check if the correct functions are called in Request Manager |
| Environmental Needs | Request Driver |

### Integration test case I5

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test Case Identifier | I5T1 |
| Test Item(s) | Zone Manager Reservation Manager |
| Input Specification | Create typical Zone Managerinput |
| Output Specification | Check if the correct functions are called in Reservation Manager |
| Environmental Needs | Reservation Driver |

### Integration test case I6

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test Case Identifier | I6T1 |
| Test Item(s) | TaxiDriver Manager Scheduler |
| Input Specification | Create typical TaxiDriver Managerinput |
| Output Specification | Check if the correct functions are called in Scheduler Manager |
| Environmental Needs | I3 succeeded |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test Case Identifier | I6T2 |
| Test Item(s) | Request Manager Scheduler |
| Input Specification | Create typical Request Managerinput |
| Output Specification | Check if the correct functions are called in Scheduler |
| Environmental Needs | I4 succeeded |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test Case Identifier | I6T3 |
| Test Item(s) | Reservation Manager Scheduler |
| Input Specification | Create typical Reservation Managerinput |
| Output Specification | Check if the correct functions are called in Scheduler |
| Environmental Needs | I5 succeeded |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test Case Identifier | I6T4 |
| Test Item(s) | Data Layer Scheduler |
| Input Specification | Create typical DataLayer input as DB connection |
| Output Specification | Check if the correct functions are called in Scheduler |
| Environmental Needs | N/A |

### Integration test case I7

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test Case Identifier | I7T1 |
| Test Item(s) | Data Layer User Manager |
| Input Specification | Create typical DataLayer input as DB connection |
| Output Specification | Check if the correct functions are called in User Manager |
| Environmental Needs | N/A |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test Case Identifier | I7T2 |
| Test Item(s) | Scheduler User Manager |
| Input Specification | Create typical Scheduler input |
| Output Specification | Check if the correct functions are called in User Manager |
| Environmental Needs | I6 succeeded |

## Test procedures

### Integration test procedure TP1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test Procedure Identifier | TP1 |
| Purpose | This test procedure verifies whether the Guest component:   * Can handle guest input * Return correct information to the guest |
| Procedure Steps | Execute I1 |

### Integration test procedure TP2

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test Procedure Identifier | TP2 |
| Purpose | This test procedure verifies whether the Admin component:   * Can handle admin input * Return correct information to the admin |
| Procedure Steps | Execute I2 |

### Integration test procedure TP3

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test Procedure Identifier | TP3 |
| Purpose | This test procedure verifies whether the TaxiDriver component:   * Can handle TaxiDriver input * Return correct information to the TaxiDriver |
| Procedure Steps | Execute I3 |

### Integration test procedure TP4

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test Procedure Identifier | TP4 |
| Purpose | This test procedure verifies whether the Scheduler component:   * Can handle TaxiDriver input * Can handle User input * Return correct information to the TaxiDriver * Return correct information to the User |
| Procedure Steps | Execute I6 after I3-I5 |

### Integration test procedure TP5

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test Procedure Identifier | TP5 |
| Purpose | This test procedure verifies whether the User component:   * Can handle User input * Can output information to Scheduler * Return correct information to the User |
| Procedure Steps | Execute I7 after I6 |

# Tools and Test Equipment Required

In order to have a more effective test, we decided to combine together the following tests:

* Manual Test
* Automatic Test

Manual

Team members dealing with user story both in client and on server side of the system respecting requirements written in Requirement Document. When a user story is finished, integration testing is done manually by the same team member who has made it. Then it is tested by other team member to ensure that all the requirements are met.

Automatic Test

After the manual test, team members will take care of writing code for the automatic test for each user story. User stories have more possible scenarios. Automating test allows us to save time in long term: it can be run in every moment and everybody can check reports. For automatic testing we use a combination of few parts of “Cucumber” and “Watir-Webdriver”. “Ruby” is the programming language use for it.

# Program Stubs and Test Data Required

Refer to the chapter 3.1 of RASD documents. In chapter 3.1 are shown user interfaces of myTaxiService system.

# References

DD References

* IEEE Design Document template
* MVC in a three-tier architecture, Peter Rawsthorne
* http://www.kony.com/resources/glossary/cross-platform-mobile-development
* https://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/ee658117.aspx
* Singleton Design Pattern- Java Tech Guy must read!, Rudra Narayan Garnaik
* Software Design and Software Architecture, Politecnico di Milano
* Advanced Software Design and architectures: Architecture styles, Damian A. Tamburri